The first order of business is challenging our assumptions about who should go to university, what it should look like, and who should pay for it. They have been phenomenally successful on all three fronts.

It is quite remarkable that a loose group of radical political mandarins, who have not been elected by anyone and who have almost no resources to achieve so much so quickly. They have brought whole universities to their knees and prompted the creation of a presidential task team. Most significantly, they garnered enough support — essentially — to force the government to allocate an additional R10-billion to higher education in the medium-term budget.

About 200 years ago, Napoleon Bonaparte quipped that in a revolution is simply an idea that has found its bayonets.

In the context of the various student movements it’s worthwhile to try to identify the underlying idea, its animating principle.

As the student movements assemble and reassemble under different guises (see last week’s column, effortlessly), I think there is a leitmotif running through all of these — the sense of the injustice of their ancestors. The first order of business is challenging our assumptions about who should go to university, what it should look like, and who should pay for it. They have been phenomenally successful on all three fronts.
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